
CITY OF MISSION, KANSAS PARKS, RECREATION, AND TREE COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA


Monday, September 16, 2019 6:00 p.m. Sylvester Powell, Jr. Community Center

"To enrich the quality of life for residents of Mission and surrounding areas by fostering a strong sense of community and providing a variety of multi-generation activities that promote healthy lifestyles."

- 1. Welcome and Introduction of new Parks & Recreation Director (Laura)
- 2. Upcoming Programs/Events (Kathy/Jenny)
- 3. PRT Commission Goals and Objectives (Laura/Penn)
- 4. Waterworks Park Tree Inventory/Adopt-A-Park Request (Laura)
- 5. Wayfinding Signage Review (Laura/Penn)
- 6. Park Entry Signage Review and Discussion (Laura/Penn)
- 7. Update on Mohawk Park Restrooms (Laura/Penn)
- 8. Meadowbrook Park Improvements/Wassmer Park Improvements (Mark/Laura)
- 9. CIP Committee Update (Laura)
- 10. Other
- 11. Adjournment


Mark Raduziner - Chairperson Sylvester Powell, Jr. Community Center 6200 Martway St. 913-722-8200










































Laura Smith < Ismith@missionks.org>

Parks signage (some feedback)

Mark Raduziner <cactimark@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 22, 2019 at 10:47 PM

To: Anne O'Leary <moleary227@gmail.com>, Mary Funk <maryandben22@kc.rr.com>, Hillary Parker Thomas https://www.newson.com, Jim & Jacque Gameson jgameson@sbcglobal.net, Karin Capron karincapron@aol.com, Nick Schlossmacher <njschloss@gmail.com>, Deborah Rushing <deborah-rushing@sbcglobal.net>, Kathy Lockard <klockard@missionks.org>, Laura Smith <lsmith@missionks.org>, Mellissa Currie <mellissa.knight@gmail.com>

Hello everyone. I can't recall if we were supposed to submit comments ahead of our next meeting, but here is my very initial feedback regarding the photos of signage Laura provided to us at our August 19 PRT Commission meeting:

Some considerations for content: park name, address, city website URL, city logo

Prairie Village Schliffke Park: I like the reverse type in the lighter color with the dark background more than the gray cement-looking name with dark type. The 'reverse type" looks more sophisticated.

Gum Springs Park: the cement-look for the sign isn't graphically pleasing. And, I think the concept of stackedstone will become outdated in 10 years. Seems it's appearing everywhere. I DO like the Shawnee logo inlay within the stone, though.

Sycamore: looks cheap and 'cold'...and it's a bit too contemporary for our city.

Brookdate: I don't like the white or the variety of design. Only the type is consistent... I think the look of the signs should also have a sense of consistency.

Fairway: I like the variance in the use of stone (not stacked). Might be too much of the stone.

Merriam: boring?

Parklane: the rusty metal look with the cement blocks seems 'harsh'.

Rushton Meadows: the font is ugly and dated. The design isn't bad. I would avoid using wood...but a bronze metal might work for the supports (but not the sign itself).

Pomona & the 'alien': I actually don't mind the overall 'concept' of the same type of look with the use of different materials.

Lexington Park: nope. Doesn't seem to represent Mission. The white is a bit off-putting.

Victoria Grove: too busy. Too big. Too much going on graphically.

Big Bull Creek: not bad—the stone is better than stacked stone (like on Fairway's sign). The sign concept is just OK. I like the use of the address (though would the number, only, suffice?). This uses the web address, too. which is a good idea. Possibly too much information on this sign, though.

Dogwood: boring. Not attractive.

**Mission became a city in 1951. Possibly a more 50s look (popular these days) would be interesting to consider. Something timeless?

Mark